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CONTEXT & OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- Nondestructive testing inside or in the vicinity of welds
- Physical properties of Dissimilar Metal Welds – Input data of the model

SIMULATION OF WAVE PROPAGATION IN CIVA SOFTWARE

- Presentation of the Dynamic Ray Tracing model
- Description of the DMWs

COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTS AND SIMULATED RESULTS

- Ultrasonic wave propagation
- Ultrasonic inspection

CONCLUSION & PERSPECTIVES
CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY (1/2)

NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING FOR THE DETECTION OF DEFECTS IN DMWs

- **Dissimilar Metal Welds (DMWs)**
  - Connection between stainless steel cooling pipes and ferritic steel vessels

- **Ultrasonic inspection to prevent leaks appearance in primary circuit**
  - Affected by the anisotropy and inhomogeneity of the structures
  - Observation of beam splitting and skewing
  - Beam attenuation along the propagation in such media

- **Simulation of inspection with CIVA**
  - Improvement of the understanding of physical phenomena involved in UT inspection
  - Evaluation of phased array techniques capacities
**V-butt Dissimilar Metal Welds**
- Studied weld made of alloy 600

**Anisotropy of the weld material**
- From the literature: Alloy grade with elastic constants representative of the anisotropy of the weld
  *B. Chassignole et al., JRC-NDE 2009.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(in GPa)</th>
<th>$C_{11}$</th>
<th>$C_{22}$</th>
<th>$C_{33}$</th>
<th>$C_{23}$</th>
<th>$C_{13}$</th>
<th>$C_{12}$</th>
<th>$C_{44}$</th>
<th>$C_{55}$</th>
<th>$C_{66}$</th>
<th>$\rho$ (kg.m$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alloy 182 *</td>
<td>255.8</td>
<td>255.8</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>137.9</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>8260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attenuation coefficient in the material**
- From the literature: experimental evaluation at 2 MHz in 316L in function of the constitutive grain orientation from the incident beam
  *B. Chassignole et al., JRC-NDE 2009.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$0^\circ$</th>
<th>$15^\circ$</th>
<th>$30^\circ$</th>
<th>$45^\circ$</th>
<th>$60^\circ$</th>
<th>$75^\circ$</th>
<th>$90^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation (in dB/mm) *</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SIMULATION OF THE WAVE PROPAGATION IN CIVA SOFTWARE
DYNAMIC RAY TRACING (DRT) MODEL – THEORY

- **Ray-based model** → search of asymptotic solutions of the elastodynamic equation

- Based on the solving of two equations in anisotropic inhomogeneous medium
  - The eikonal equation → evaluation of the ray-paths and travel time

\[
\left( \Gamma_{ik} - C_{im} \delta_{ik} \right) \frac{g_k^{(m)}}{} = 0
\]

- The transport equation → computation of the ray amplitude

\[
2 \rho U_i^E \frac{\partial A^{(m)}}{\partial x_l} + \left( A^{(m)} \right) \frac{\partial }{\partial x_j} \left( \rho U_i^E \right) = 0
\]
SUPPLY AND LIMITS OF THE DYNAMIC RAY TRACING (DRT) MODEL

- **Model adapted to the study of anisotropic and inhomogeneous media**
  - Continuous variable description of the medium taken as input data
  - Variations of the elastic constants taken into account

CIVA II model adapted to anisotropic homogeneous media

→ **straight trajectory**

DRT model adapted to anisotropic inhomogeneous media (CIVA development)

→ **curved trajectory**

- Accurate computation of the ray amplitude by taking into account the physical properties of the inhomogeneous medium
- Evaluation of the interface crossing between two inhomogeneous media

- **Limits of the DRT model**
  - Model based on a high-frequency approximation
    → Need to have a medium description varying slowly with respect to the characteristic length such as the wavelength
INPUT DATA OF THE MODEL

- **Dynamic Ray Tracing model**
  - Expression of the inhomogeneity through the crystallographic orientation variations

- **Analytical description of the grain orientation**


\[
\theta = \begin{cases} 
\arctan\left(\frac{T_1(D_1 + z\tan\alpha_1)}{x\eta_1}\right), & \text{for } x > 0, \\
-\pi/2, & \text{for } x = 0, \\
-\arctan\left(\frac{T_2(D_2 + z\tan\alpha_2)}{x\eta_2}\right), & \text{for } x < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

- **Description of the considered weld in the model**

Weld parameters:

\[
D = 6.14 \quad T = 1 \\
\alpha = 12.5 \quad \eta = 1
\]
ULTRASONIC WAVE PROPAGATION
**Measurement method**

- **Transmitters:**
  - 2 MHz angle beam transducers located on the top surface (L49° and S45°)
- **Receiver:**
  - 2 MHz normal incidence transducer on the side surface of the specimen (ϕ = 1 mm)
- **Scanning direction:**
  - 35 mm along x direction and 80 mm along y direction
  - Resolution 0.2 mm on the side surface

**Aim of the experiment**

- Measurement of the propagation of longitudinal and shear wave in the weld
- Observation of the wave front at any time during the propagation
- Comparison with corresponding simulations
### Emission of L49° wave on the buttering – Propagation from the ferritic to the stainless steel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident point (80, 40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Simulated beam" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Deviation of the beam during the propagation in the weld (inhomogeneous properties)
- Good agreement of the simulation with experiment at each time step
- No simulation of the scattering of the shear wave during the propagation
Emission of L49° wave on the weld – Propagation from the stainless to the ferritic steel

→ Deviation of the beam during the propagation in the weld (inhomogeneous medium)
→ Slight differences of the position of the maximum of the wave front
→ No simulation of the scattering of the shear wave during its propagation in the weld
CONCLUSION OF THE BEAM COMPARISON

- Good agreement between the experimental measurement and the simulation of the wave propagation in the DMW described thanks to a closed-form

- Observation of the beam deviation due to the evaluation of the rays trajectory in an anisotropic inhomogeneous medium (take into account of the elastic constants variations)

- Good location of the wave front at each time step

- Observation of slight differences of the position of the maximal amplitude
  - Due to the straight separation between the physical properties of the weld and the buttering

- Absence of the visualization of the beam perturbation during its propagation in the weld
  - No simulation of the scattering of the shear beam due to the constitutive grains of the Dissimilar Metal Weld
ULTRASONIC INSPECTION
PRESENTATION OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

**Searched defects**
- Three 10 mm height notches
- In the buttering, the weld and the stainless steel

**Probe**
- 1 MHz linear array transducer
- 64 elements
- Pitch = 0.6 mm, length = 0.5 mm
- Focusing at 20 mm (halfway through the thickness)

**Emission**
- L-wave
- Nominal refraction angle 49°
- Pulse-echo technique

**Aim of the experiment**
- Detection of the tip diffraction and corner echoes of each defect
- S3 notch on the stainless steel chosen as the reference defect
- Comparison with corresponding simulations
COMPARISON OF SIMULATED RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTS

Propagation from the ferritic to the stainless steel

Notch in the weld

→ Good detection of the tip diffraction and corner echoes of both notches in experiment

→ Good reproduction of these responses in CIVA simulation

→ Very good agreement of the values of the echoes between experiment and simulation

→ Maximal difference of 3.6 dB for the less favorable configuration where the wave travels through the whole welded zone

Noise in the medium and boundary echoes not taken into account
NOTCH DETECTION (2/2)

COMPARISON OF SIMULATED RESULTS AND EXPERIMENTS

- Propagation from the stainless to the ferritic steel

→ Less easier detection of the notches in experiment in this configuration: appearance of noise caused by the internal structure of the weld

→ Good detection of the two notches in CIVA simulation

→ Good agreement of the echoes values between experiment and simulation

→ Slight differences for the corner echoes due to the propagation in a zone where the crystallographic orientation varies importantly

Noise in the medium and boundary echoes not taken into account
CONCLUSION & PERSPECTIVES
CONCLUSION

- **Study of Dissimilar Metal Welds**
  - Representation of the inhomogeneity of the medium through a description of the crystallographic orientation inside a V-butt weld made of alloy 600

- **Propagation model**
  - Dynamic Ray Tracing model for the evaluation of the wave propagation in anisotropic inhomogeneous media

- **Ultrasonic beam**
  - Comparison of experiments and simulations
  - Good evaluation of the ultrasonic wave field in complex structures such as DMWs

- **Ultrasonic inspection**
  - Comparison of the defect response: simulation/experiments
  - Good detection of notches in the weld or in the buttering
  - Good evaluation of the amplitude of the response
PERSPECTIVES

**Improvement of the description of the DMWs**
- Description of the whole weld (welded zone + buttering) with a continuously variable description of the crystallographic orientation
  → To avoid creation of straight separation between two media

**Example of an analytical law**

**Improvement of the model**
- Adaptation of the defect response model implemented in CIVA to the detection of defect located in a smoothly inhomogeneous medium
  → Take into account of the variations of the physical properties along the edges of the considered defect

Macrograph of the DMW
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THE DYNAMIC RAY TRACING (DRT) MODEL

- **Ray-based model** → search of asymptotic solutions of the elastodynamic equation


- Evaluation of *ray paths and travel time*
  - Eikonal equation in anisotropic inhomogeneous medium:
    \[
    (\Gamma_{ik} - G_{m}\delta_{ik})g^{(m)}_k = 0
    \]
    - Christoffel tensor
    - Eigenvalues of the Christoffel tensor
    - Polarization vector
  - Existence of three eigenvalues \(G_m\) associated to three eigenvectors \(g^{(m)}_i\) of the \(\Gamma_{ik}\) matrix representing the three plane waves that propagate in the medium
  - Obtaining of a system of ordinary differential equations: the *axial ray system*

  - \(x_i(T)\): Position of the ray
  - \(p_i(T)\): Slowness of the ray
  \[
  \begin{cases}
  \frac{dx_i}{dT} = a_{ijkl}p_j g^{(m)}_j g^{(m)}_k = U^E_i \\
  \frac{dp_i}{dT} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial a_{jkn}}{\partial x_i} p_k p_n g^{(m)}_j g^{(m)}_i
  \end{cases}
  \]
  - Elasticity constants
  - Energy velocity vector
  - Slowness vector
THE DYNAMIC RAY TRACING (DRT) MODEL

- Computation of *ray amplitude*
- Solving of the transport equation in inhomogeneous anisotropic medium:

\[
2 \rho U_i^E \frac{\partial A^{(m)}}{\partial x_i} + \left( A^{(m)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho U_i^E \right) = 0
\]

- Obtaining of a system of ordinary differential equations: the *paraxial ray system*

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \gamma} \right) &= \frac{dQ_i}{dT} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_m}{\partial x_i \partial \gamma} \\
\frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \gamma} \right) &= \frac{dP_i}{dT} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 G_m}{\partial x_i \partial \gamma}
\end{align*}
\]