

**Karim JEZZINE**<sup>1</sup>, Damien SEGUR<sup>1</sup>, Romain ECAULT<sup>2</sup>, Nicolas DOMINGUEZ<sup>2</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>CEA, LIST, Toulouse, France <sup>2</sup>Airbus Group Innovations, Toulouse, France

## SIMULATION OF ULTRASONIC INSPECTIONS OF COMPOSITE STRUCTURES IN THE CIVA SOFTWARE PLATFORM

WCNDT 2016 | Jezzine Karim



### > Context: CIVA-COMPOSITE

- Hybrid modelling for the simulation of composite inspections
- Simulation results and validations
- > Conclusions & Perspectives





### **Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymers**

- Used to reduce the weight of the structure while maintaining high mechanical performance
- Highly heterogeneous and anisotropic



- Ply waviness
- Delaminations
- Matrix crackings
- Porosities





## List HOMOGENIZATION OF COMPOSITE LAMINATES (1/2) AIRBUS

Scale of inhomogenities

- Fiber diameter: ~ 5 to 10 μm
- Intermediate epoxy layers: ~10 to 25 μm
- Ply thickness: ~ 100 to 200 μm

## Typical UT signals (pulse-echo Ascan)



Presence of structural noise due to intermediate epoxy layers

Necessary to homogenize the structure to apply ultrasonic propagation models



#### List HOMOGENIZATION OF COMPOSITE LAMINATES (2/2) AIRBUS GROUP

#### Homogenization at ply scale (based on multiple scattering)



#### S. Lonné PhD thesis, 2003

Name Homogeneous	material				
		Density		1.494 g.cm <sup>-3</sup>	
Properties					
		Symmetry	Transversely isotro	pic	-
Stiffness matrix (GPa	<ul> <li>a) - elastic properties</li> </ul>				
141.952	5.286	5.286	0	0	0
5.286	12.432	5.81	0	0	0
5.286	5.81	12.432	0	0	0
0	0	0	3.311	0	0
0	0	0	0	5.089	0
0	0	0	0	0	5.089
		Symmetry	(i) X'		
			Υ'		
			04		

#### **Full homogenization**



#### S. Deydier PhD thesis, 2006

Properties Visualization					
Symmetry Orthotropic 👻					
Stiffness matrix (GPa	<ul> <li>a) - elastic properties</li> </ul>				
111.709	70.666	4.697	0	0	(
70.666	111.709	4.697	0	0	(
4.697	4.697	12.432	0	0	(
0	0	0	4.128	0	C
0	0	0	0	4.128	C
0	0	0	0	0	20.521



# SIMULATION APPROACHES IN CIVA FOR COMPOSITE LAMINATES



Semi-analytical ray based approach

list

Clatech



- Requires full homogenization of the laminate (structural noise cannot be taken into account)
- Very fast computations for flat specimens (rays travel in straight line), even for 3D.
- Ray tracing and amplitude computation are slower for curved anisotropic media but remain fast compared to numerical methods.
- Available in CIVA for 2D and 3D computations

Hybrid modelling (ray based/ Finite Difference Time Domain)



- Only requires homogenization at ply scale (structural noise is taken into account)
- Computation time reduced in comparison to a full FDTD computation
- Computation time increases with frequency
- Available in CIVA for 2D computations







#### Module dedicated to composite materials released in 2016





7





**SPECIMENS** 



Curved composite laminates





Stiffeners

Complex shaped composite laminates

#### MATERIALS



#### Specific GUI to define stacking sequence

WCNDT 2016 | Jezzine Karim







#### SPECIFIC FLAWS



#### ACCOUNT OF ATTENUATION BY POST-PROCESSING

🛄 Specimen	- - 
File	
Geometry Material Overall attenuation	
	Attenuation law Polynomial attenuation law
	Table  O Curve
	Frequency
0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1	Attenuation
5- 1- 0.0 1.0	2.0 3.0 4.0 4.4

- Obtained from experimental data
- Accounts for viscoelasticity of the resin, scattering by carbon fiber, porosities
- Sliding FFT window algorithm



#### > Context: CIVA-COMPOSITE

- Hybrid modelling for the simulation of composite inspections
- Simulation results and validations
- > Conclusions & Perspectives



## FDTD MODEL DEVELOPPED BY AIRBUS



#### Finite Differences in Time Domain (FDTD): Virieux scheme

Stress-velocity formulation

Leap-frog scheme in time

Staggered grid in space





Discretized equations

$$V_{x_{ij}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = V_{x_{ij}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\rho_{ij}} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left( \Sigma_{xx_{i+\frac{1}{2}j}}^{n} - \Sigma_{xx_{i-\frac{1}{2}j}}^{n} + \Sigma_{xy_{ij+\frac{1}{2}}}^{n} - \Sigma_{xy_{ij-\frac{1}{2}}}^{n} \right)$$
  
$$\Sigma_{xx_{i+\frac{1}{2}j}}^{n+1} = \Sigma_{xx_{i+\frac{1}{2}j}}^{n} + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left\{ C_{11_{i+\frac{1}{2}j}} \left( V_{x_{i+1,j}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - V_{x_{ij}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) + C_{12_{i+\frac{1}{2}j}} \left( V_{y_{i+\frac{1}{2}j+\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - V_{y_{i+\frac{1}{2}j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\}$$

FDTD stability conditions (2D):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x \le \lambda_{\min}/20\\ \Delta t \le \frac{\Delta x}{c_{\max}\sqrt{2}} \end{cases}$$

WCNDT 2016 | Jezzine Karim





Use of PMLs (Perfectly Matched Layers) on the box boundaries (no reflections)

Source terms in FDTD code

$$F_i = \frac{\Delta T}{\rho} \, \delta p^{(inc)} \Big/ \frac{\Delta x}{2}$$



### > Context: CIVA-COMPOSITE

- Hybrid modelling for the simulation of composite inspections
- > Simulation results and validations
- > Conclusions & Perspectives





#### Geometry

- Quasi isotropic laminated plate [0/+45/90/-45]
- Total thickness: 12mm (24 plies)
- Intermediate epoxy layers : 15µm thick



### **Material properties**

- Epoxy :  $\rho$  = 1.23 g cm-3, V<sub>L</sub> = 2500 m s<sup>-1</sup>, V<sub>T</sub> = 1140 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Unidirectional CFRP ply :  $\rho$  = 1.6 g cm-3

	/155	5	5	0	0	0	
		13	7	0	0	0	
Hooke tensor:			13	0	0	0	
		0		3	0	0	
					6	0 /	
	× ×					6/	

**Theoretical resonance frequency** ~ 3Mhz (V<sub>L0</sub>/ply thickness/2)





Data Simulation Results (K8) : AScan 🛛 😫 🗳 🛛 TIME (µs)

60.86





without intermediate AMPLITUDES (dB) epoxy layers - 00 Data Simulation Results (N11) : AScan 📋 🖾 🛛 📕 Data Simulation Results (L9) : AScan 🛛 😫 🖾 🗡 TIME (µs) TIME (µs) 60.9 60.93 with intermediate

- 00

epoxy layers



🗖 Data Simulation Results (M10) : AScan 🛛 🖯 🗆 🗙

TIME (µs)

61.11

AMPLITUDES (dB)



🗖 Data Simulation Results (O12) : AScan 🛛 🖯 🗳 🛛

Data Simulation Results (P13) : AScan 🖯 🗉 🛛

TIME (µs)

TIME (µs)

60.8

AMPLITUDES (dB)







#### Validation on a CFRP panel with two different transducers

Material type	Pre-preg
Material	CFRP n°1
Thickness of the area	7.23 mm (28 plies)
Total ply thickness (including resin interface)	0.259 mm
Resin interface thickness	15 μm

Theoretical resonance frequency ~5,8MHz

Material properties (Cij,  $\rho$  and  $\alpha(F)$  ) were measured by Airbus and used as input of the models



	Probe 1: Metalscan Aero C	Probe 2: Metalscan Aero C		
	n°034801-4	n°034801-2		
Туре	Single-crystal	Single-crystal		
Crystal shape	Flat	Flat		
Crystal diameter	6 mm	6 mm		
Nominal freq.	3.5 MHz	5 MHz		
Peak freq.	3.2 MHz	4.7 MHz		
Bandwidth	60 %	55 %		
<u>@</u> -6dB				







**Experimental and simulated Ascans** 

- Central frequency and bandwidth are adjusted to fit the frontwall echo
- Attenuation due to viscoelasticity is applied by post-processing using a sliding window over the signal.



The amplitude of the backwall echo and the structural noise is well predicted by the model





## SURFACE ADAPTATIVE ULTRASONIC (1/2)



Phase array inspections with SAUL

- SAUL is an iterative process that adapts the wavefront to enter the component with a normal incidence
- Delays laws are computed using the frontwall echo
- All the elements of the array are fired simultanously
- Time of flight measurement on each element in reception



Emission delay law 
$$\underline{E}$$
:  $E_n^{(j+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Max \left( t_1^{(j)} \right) \right]$ 

Reception delay law R :

$$E_n^{(j+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ Max \left( t_1^{(j)}, t_2^{(j)}, \dots, t_n^{(j)} \right) - t_n^{(j)} \Big] + E_n^{(j)}$$

$$R_n^{(j+1)} = Max\left(E_1^{(j+1)}, E_2^{(j+1)}, \dots, E_n^{(j+1)}, \dots, E_n^{(j+1)}\right) - E_n^{(j+1)}$$





## **SURFACE ADAPTATIVE ULTRASONIC (2/2)**



- 32 elements probe, 4MHz,
- Delay laws computed from frontwall echo



SAUL gives a flatter echo with higher amplitude



### > Context: CIVA-COMPOSITE

- Hybrid modelling for the simulation of composite inspections
- Simulation results and validations
- > Conclusions & Perspectives





- Airbus Group Innovations FDTD code has been integrated in CIVA for composite applications
- Dedicated GUI features have been implemented.
- Further improvements include
  - Account of dispersion
  - Integration of 3D code
- Contact and demonstration for CIVA: visit EXTENDE stand



#### Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives Institut List | CEA SACLAY NANO-INNOV | BAT. 861 – PC142 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex - FRANCE www-list.cea.fr

\_\_\_\_\_

Établissement public à caractère industriel et commercial | RCS Paris B 775 685 019